1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UN	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CEN	NTRAL DISTR	LICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			Case No.	
11	, Dlaintiff(a)		S FOR
12	Plaintiff(s	s),	ORDER RE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
13	V.		[Updated 3/1/24]	
14	,			
15	Defendan	nt(s).		
16			_	
17			BECAUSE IT CONTRO	
18	AND DIFFE	RS IN PART	FROM THE LOCAL RU	JLES.
19				1
20	TABLE OF CONTENTS			
21	1.	General Rea	uirements	
22	2.			
23		3. Joint Appendix of Evidence (JAE)		
24		4. Joint Appendix of Objections (JAO)		
25 26		5. Failure to Comply		
2627			• /	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$				
∠ () I				

1. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- a. **Joint Brief**. The parties shall work cooperatively to submit a single joint brief for all motions for summary judgment or adjudication (MSJs) brought by all moving parties. The joint brief shall be organized by issue, presenting the parties' competing positions on an issue-by-issue basis. For each issue, the moving party shall present legal argument and citation to the Joint Appendix of Facts (JAF), *see infra*, followed immediately by the opposition's response that similarly must be supported by legal argument and citation to the JAF.
- **b. Form**. Because summary judgment motions are fact-intensive, parties should prepare papers in a fashion that will assist the Court in considering the material (e.g., through use of tabs, tables of contents, headings, indices). The joint brief must cite to the JAF, *see infra*.
- c. Word Limit. Each separately represented party shall be limited to 7,000 words, exclusive of tables of contents and authorities. Leave for additional briefing will be given only in extraordinary cases. Each party is limited to the use of a total of eight footnotes.
- d. Timing. The Court expects the parties to agree to a filing schedule for an MSJ, which must be filed in compliance with the deadlines set forth in Paragraph 1(e). The parties should not wait until the last day to file; on the other hand, the filing of clearly premature motions requiring a continuance to permit discovery wastes resources.
- e. **Briefing Schedule**. If multiple parties are moving for summary judgment, the parties should designate only one party as "the moving party" for purposes of the briefing schedule.¹ The schedule for the joint brief shall be as follows:

¹ The Court expects the parties to work together professionally and agree on which

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

26

27

- i. Meet and Confer. For an MSJ to be timely filed, the moving party must arrange for an in-person meeting or videoconference to take place no later than 53 days before the motion hearing cutoff set forth in the case management order. The parties shall thoroughly discuss each issue to be raised and the law and evidence relevant to that issue. A thorough discussion serves a critical function: It often narrows the issues to be adjudicated, and it allows the parties to squarely and fully address the actual issues requiring resolution.
 - ii. Moving Portion. No later than seven days after the meet and confer, the moving party shall provide to the opposing party an electronic copy of the moving party's portion of the joint brief, together with the moving party's portion of the JAF and Joint Appendix of Evidence (JAE), *see infra*.
 - iii. Opposing Portion. No later than 14 days after receiving the moving party's papers, the opposing party shall provide to the moving party an electronic copy of the integrated motion, which shall include the opposing party's portion of the joint brief, JAF, and JAE. At this point, the opposing party should sign the joint brief.
 - iv. Filing of Joint Brief. After receiving the integrated version of the motion and related papers, the moving party shall finalize it for filing without making any further revisions to the joint brief and appendices, except to note in the JAF (in the format described in the instructions below) whether any facts added by the opposing

party should be designated the moving party. If the parties are unable to agree, the defendant shall be deemed the moving party. If different parties seek summary judgment on different issues, the parties may agree to modify the pre-filing deadlines in the briefing schedule without leave of Court *if and only if* (1) all parties agree to the modifications *and* (2) the summary judgment motion is timely filed under the case management order.

party are disputed or undisputed. Once finalized, the moving party's counsel shall sign and electronically file the joint brief, 3 JAF, and JAE no later than four days after receiving the opposing 4 party's signed copy. The joint brief shall be accompanied by a Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment and shall be 6 calendared pursuant to the Local Rules on an available date no 7 later than the motion hearing cutoff in the case management order.

- f. **Reply Memorandum**. After the joint brief is filed, the moving party² may separately file a reply memorandum of points and authorities, not exceeding 3,000 words, no later than 14 days before the scheduled hearing date. The moving party may not use this filing to supplement the JAF or the JAE in any way. No additional briefing or filing in support of or in opposition to the MSJ is permitted.
- **Multiple Motions**. No more than one joint motion may be filed under g. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, whether brought as a motion for summary judgment or summary adjudication, without leave of Court. In the rare case in which leave of Court is sought, the parties shall file a joint noticed motion setting forth their respective positions on the existence of good cause for the filing of multiple motions.

2. JOINT APPENDIX OF FACTS (JAF)

The joint brief shall be accompanied by a single statement of undisputed and disputed facts contained in a JAF presented in a table. This Court's requirements for the JAF supersede the requirements in Local Rules 56-1, 56-2, and 56-3 for a "Statement of Uncontroverted Facts," which the parties need not additionally file.

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

²⁶

² In the case of multiple moving parties, each moving party may file a supplemental memorandum that replies only to the issue(s) upon which the party moved.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
	6
	7
	0

- **a.** Table Format. The JAF table shall contain four columns.
 - i. <u>Column No. 1</u>. The first column shall contain the number of the fact alleged to be undisputed. All asserted undisputed facts shall be *sequentially numbered* and divided into sections according to the element of proof to which the facts relate.
 - ii. <u>Column No. 2</u>. The second column shall contain a plain statement of the fact.
 - Facts shall *not be compound* (e.g., Mike and Jane signed a contract, and Jane mailed the contract in May 2017).
 - Each fact must be stated separately in its own row as shown below.
 - Neither legal arguments nor conclusions constitute facts.
 - Facts shall not be repeated if they relate to multiple claims for multiple elements of proof. The first reference to a fact in the JAF shall serve and—through incorporation by reference—can be cited to support all subsequent claims (e.g., "See JAF 1–5").
 - iii. Column No. 3. The third column shall contain a citation to admissible evidence that supports the proffered fact; the evidence cited must be included in the JAE.
 - iv. Column No. 4. The fourth column shall contain the opposing party's response to the fact alleged to be undisputed: (1) stating that the fact is undisputed or disputed, (2) briefly stating why the opposing party disputes the fact, (3) citing with specificity the evidence that refutes the fact, and (4) explaining how the cited evidence refutes the fact; the evidence cited must be included in the JAE.

b. Headers. Where feasible, parties should use headers to group facts relevant to a particular issue. The table below illustrates the format that must be used.

SUF No.	<u>Fact</u>	Supporting Evidence	Def.'s Response
<u>Issue No. 1</u> . Plaintiff's Claim for Breach of Contract is Barred by the Statute of Limitations.			
1.	Mike and Jane signed a contract for the sale and purchase of property.	Smith Decl. ¶ 5, Ex. 6.	Disputed. Jane testified that the contract was for a lease, not a purchase. Jane Depo. 29:4-16, Ex. 1.
2.	Jane mailed the contract in <i>May</i> 2017.	Smith Decl. ¶ 8, Ex. 21.	Disputed in Part. Jane testified she mailed the contract in January 2017. Jane Depo. 3:4-10, Ex. 1.

- c. Good-Faith Preparation. The parties shall cooperate to prepare the JAF in good faith and otherwise will be subject to appropriate sanctions.
 - i. The parties shall work cooperatively to identify a single set of facts alleged to be undisputed to avoid unnecessary duplication or confusion.
 - ii. The parties shall provide only material facts, in a noncompound form, arranged according to their relevancy to a particular issue.Do not rotely "cut and paste" from the "Background" of the joint brief.
 - iii. If a party disputes a fact in bad faith by offering evidence that does not contradict the proffered fact *or* by failing to provide a specific citation to the supporting evidence, the Court will deem the fact

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

- undisputed for purposes of the motion. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e)(2); L.R. 56-4.
- iv. If a party disputes a fact in part, the disputing party should state "Disputed in Part," highlight the disputed part in bold italics, and provide the specific evidentiary support for the dispute (see example above). A party that lacks candor in failing to acknowledge the limited extent of the dispute forces the Court to expend needless resources discovering it itself.
- v. The JAF should be as narrow and concise as the issues on summary judgment properly warrant. If the Court determines that the JAF is unjustifiably long or unwieldy, the Court may strike the JAF and require the parties to refile it (and any document citing it) within two business days.
- vi. Deliberate gamesmanship designed to abuse the process will result in an order to show cause (OSC) re sanctions against the abusive party and/or counsel, including, if appropriate, terminating and/or monetary sanctions. A party who is the subject of an OSC should be prepared to justify each purportedly disputed fact at the hearing. Abuses that will invite an OSC include but are not limited to the following tactics:
 - Stating a fact is undisputed when it clearly is not;
 - Disputing a clearly undisputed fact in whole or part;
 - Manufacturing a dispute by mischaracterizing evidence, reframing the stated fact in order to dispute the fact as reframed, or using an evidentiary objection to dispute an undisputed fact;
 - Making legal argument in the JAF; and
 - Introducing clearly irrelevant or immaterial facts for the obvious purpose of obfuscation.

3. **JOINT APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE (JAE)**

The joint brief shall be accompanied by a JAE—i.e., a separate, tabbed appendix of all evidence in support of or opposition to the MSJ, including declarations, deposition excerpts, documents, photographs, etc. Physical evidence (e.g., video recordings) shall be lodged separately. No evidence should be attached to a memorandum of points and authorities or included anywhere other than in the JAE.

The JAE shall include a table of contents. The JAE shall be filed as a single, combined PDF; more than one PDF may be filed if file-size constraints preclude filing the JAE as a single PDF. In the event multiple PDFs are necessary to file the JAE, each file shall be titled by part and exhibit series (e.g., JAE Part 1, Exhibits 1–50). Each exhibit within the JAE must be bookmarked; the bookmark should include the exhibit number and a brief description of the exhibit (e.g., Ex. 1 – Jones Depo. Excerpts).

Declarations shall set out admissible facts without any argument, and evidence must be properly authenticated by stipulation, declaration, or otherwise. No party shall submit evidence other than the specific evidence necessary to support or controvert a proposed statement of undisputed fact. Do not, for example, submit the entire deposition transcripts or an entire set of interrogatory responses when relying on only a portion thereof.

When multiple parties wish to produce different excerpts of the transcript of the same deposition, the excerpts shall be combined into a single exhibit containing all pages to which either party cites.

4. <u>Joint Appendix of Objections (JAO)</u>

The parties shall prepare a Joint Appendix of Objections (JAO) containing any evidentiary objections in a single, separate document presented in a three-column table as follows:

Objector	Evidence	Objection (O)/Response (R)
	1. "Jane mailed the contract in May 2017." (Smith Decl. ¶ 8.)	O: Lacks foundation. R: Smith saw Mary mail it. (¶ 8.)

Failure to comply with this formatting requirement will result in a waiver of the objections. Blanket or boilerplate objections will be overruled and disregarded.

To allow both sides the opportunity to respond to the other's evidentiary objections, the JAO is due after the joint brief, JAF, and JAE, as follows: The party opposing summary judgment shall provide the moving party with any objections to the moving party's evidence at the same time that it provides its portion of the joint brief, the JAF, and the JAE. No later than seven days after receiving these materials, the moving party shall provide to the opposing party its responses to the opposing party's evidentiary objections, together with any objections to the opposing party's evidence. Within seven days thereafter, the opposing party shall add its responses to the moving party's objections (without making any other changes) and file the JAO.

The parties' objections and responses in the JAO shall be brief and strictly limited to the admissibility of the evidence. No substantive legal arguments will be permitted. If an evidentiary dispute is dispositive of a claim or argument, it should be addressed in the briefing on that claim or argument.

5. FAILURE TO COMPLY

If it appears that the parties have not met and conferred in good faith, have not worked to fully integrate the MSJ, or have otherwise failed to fully comply with this Order, the MSJ may be stricken, and the parties may be required to repeat the process. The deadline for hearing the MSJ will not be continued for failure to comply with this Order, absent good cause. If it appears that one (or more) of the parties is primarily

1	responsible for the failure to properly file a compliant joint brief, the primarily
2	responsible party or parties shall be subject to appropriate sanctions.
3	
4	
5	Date: Stanley Blumenfeld, Jr.
6	United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
25 26	
20 27	
28	
20	